



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,875	06/18/2001	Lin-feng Chen	UCAL-234	1891
24353 75	01/28/2005		EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			LEFFERS JR, GERALD G	
SUITE 200	SILY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO A	LTO, CA 94303		1636	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	İ
	09/884,875	CHEN ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gerald G Leffers Jr., PhD	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2004 FAILS TO PLATING Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ACE THIS APPLICATION IN avoid abandonment of this a (1) a timely filed amendmen eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE application. A proper reply to a which places the application in timely filed Request for Continue	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.		s later. In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	ire later than SIX MONTHS from the VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH. The date on which the petition under od of extension and the corresponder of the shortened statutory period. Office later than three months after 37 CFR 1.704(b).	er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate eigenger amount of the fee. The appropriate eiger reply originally set in the final Office act the mailing date of the final rejection, even	xtension extension ction; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37	01101101(07)	issal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	g pecause.	earch (see NOTF below):	}
(a) \(\sum \) they raise new issues that would require fu	urther consideration and/or s	calon (300 140 12 301017)	
—	nte helow):		ng the
(c) they are not deemed to place the applications the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding num	per or unany rejected danns.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):	d in a senarate, timely filed amen	dment
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) W	ould be allowable it submitte		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reques	J. 000		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered	I because it is not directed S		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clair	ment(s) a) will not be ententents would be rejected is prov	red or b) will be entered and a ded below or appended.	n
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows		•	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-10 and 19-31</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 32-42.			
out and a with drown from consideration:		aved by the Everniner	
8 The drawing correction filed on is a)] approved or b) disappr	Oved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	itement(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)	
10. Other:			
		Gerald G Leffers Jr., Ph Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1636	nD

Application/Control Number: 09/884,875

Art Unit: 1636

Advisory Action Attachment

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues in that it is not compliant with the rules for amending claims under 37 CFR 1.121. For example, claim 38 is not marked-up to demonstrate the changes made from the most recent set of claims that have been entered into the file (i.e. the claims of the 7/1/2004 amendment; e.g. see claim 38, lines 4-6) Further, the amendment of claim 38 to specifically recite "an anti-acetylated lysine antibody" changes the scope of the claims and requires a new search. It further raises new considerations concerning enablement in using an anti-acetylated lysine antibody in the recited cell-based methods when the antibody is likely to bind to many other acetylated proteins in the cell to which it is contacted (e.g. acetylated histones, etc.). The proposed amendment of claim 32 to recite "trichostatin A" also would require a new prior art search.

Continuation of 5: Applicants' request for reconsideration. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments directed to the proposed amendment are moot because the proposed amendment has not been entered.

If entered, applicants' proposed amendment of claim 38 would obviate the outstanding grounds of rejection over claims 38-42 for comprising impermissible New Matter. The proposed change would, however, raise new enablement considerations for the reasons outlined briefly above.

With regard to the new matter rejection of claims 32-37, applicants' proposed response would not overcome the rejection because there remains no support in the specification as originally filed for claiming the broadly recited method with regard to, for example, cell type,

Application/Control Number: 09/884,875

Art Unit: 1636

detection method, etc., in order to identify literally any agent that can modulate NF-kB activity in transcription of a gene. The only place in the entire specification where applicants discussed a method for detecting deacetylated RelA in conjunction with blocking agents that block nuclear export was in a single working example that was not put forth as a broad method of identifying an agent that modulates NF-kB activity in transcription of a gene. This single working example was an experiment particularly designed to characterize normal regulation of NF-kB activity in the cell. This specific working example cannot be considered as providing support for broadly recited methods directed to identification of literally any agent (e.g. from literally any source), using literally any cell and literally any detection assay in the absence of any other evidence from the originally filed specification and claims that such broadly recited methods were part of applicants' invention. Amending the claim to recite the two specific blocking agents used in the working example (i.e. leptomycin B or trichostatin A) does not overcome this deficiency of the originally filed specification and claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald G Leffers Jr., PhD whose telephone number is (571) 272-0772. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,875 Page 4

Art Unit: 1636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gerald G Leffers Jr., PhD Primary Examiner Art Unit 1636

ggl